-- that there be no outbursts of any kind while I render my verdict in the case.

I do want to thank both lawyers for doing an excellent job in the case and for keeping the case moving along at a fairly efficient clip.

We got the case done in pretty good time, so I thank both lawyers for that, doing an excellent job.

## COURT'S VERDICT

2.2

2.5

## BY THE COURT:

All right, General Laws, Chapter 272, Section 77, criminalizes animal cruelty.

The Commonwealth has alleged that the defendant failed to provide a sanitary environment for the dogs in her care, and thus, violated the statute.

As we all know, the Commonwealth bears the burden of proving their charge beyond a reasonable doubt, the highest legal standard under our laws.

While there has been some evidence that Greyhound Friends could have improved the sanitary conditions at the shelter, there was also much credible evidence that the shelter

was generally a safe and healthy environment for the dogs.

This was a rescue shelter, and evidence showed that many of the dogs that arrived there had already experienced much mistreatment and trauma in various other environments from which they came.

It is clear to me that the defendant loved and cared for the dogs, and is to be commended for making the rescue of these dogs her life's work.

Although she may have taken her eye off the ball, so to speak, in terms of the physical upkeep of the kennel, this was indeed a regulatory issue that called for a regulatory solution.

The evidence falls far short of establishing that she violated a criminal statute and committed a felony. Thus, I find her not guilty.

Thank you.

MR. CAPPETTA: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE CLERK: Okay, then, for the record, on 17CR436, that's a jury-waived bench trial, on Count One, cruelty to a custodial animal, the defendant has been found not guilty.

THE COURT: Thank you.

www.OfficeSolutionsPlusLLC.com -- 617-471-3510 -- LizTice@OfficeSolutionsPlusLLC.com